Government of Pakistan
National Tariff Commission

REPORT

ON

PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION AND LEVY OF PROVISIONAL ANTI-DUMPING DUTIES ON DUMPED IMPORTS OF LEAD PENCILS ORIGINATING IN AND/ OR EXPORTED FROM THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

ADC NO. 52/2016/NTC/Pencils
June 05, 2018
The National Tariff Commission (the “Commission”) having regard to the Anti-Dumping Duties Act, 2015 (the "Act") and the Anti-Dumping Duties Rules, 2001 (the "Rules") is responsible to conduct anti-dumping investigations for imposition of anti-dumping duties to offset injurious impact of dumped imports on domestic industry and to ensure fair competition thereof, and to the WTO’s Agreement on Implementation of Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 (the “Antidumping Agreement”).

2. The Commission is conducting an investigation on alleged dumped imports of Lead Pencils into Pakistan originating in and/or exported from the People’s Republic of China (“China”), under the Act and the Rules. The Commission has made preliminary determination in this investigation under Section 37 of the Act. This report on preliminary determination has been issued in accordance with Rule 10 of the Rules. This preliminary determination is based on the information available with the Commission at this point of time.

A. PROCEDURE

3. The procedure set out below has been followed with regard to this investigation.

4. Receipt of Application

4.1 On October 11, 2017, the Commission received a written application under Section 20 and 24 of the Act from M/s Indus Pencils Industries Private Limited, Karachi (the “Applicant”). The Applicant is producer of Lead Pencils and has alleged that Lead Pencils originating in and/or exported from China are being dumped into Pakistan, which have caused and are causing material injury to the domestic industry producing Lead Pencils.

4.2 The Commission informed the Embassy of China in Islamabad through note verbal dated October 16, 2017 of the receipt of application in accordance with the requirements of Section 21 of the Act.

5. Evaluation and Examination of the Application

The examination of the application showed that it met requirements of Section 20 of the Act as it contained sufficient evidence of dumping of Lead Pencils into Pakistan from China and material injury to the domestic industry caused therefrom. Requirements of Rule 3 of the Rules, which relate to the submission of information prescribed therein were also found to have been met.

6. The Domestic Industry

6.1 Section 2(d) of the Act defines domestic industry as follows:
“Domestic industry” means the domestic producers as a whole of a domestic like product or those whose collective output of that product constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of that product; except when any such domestic producers are related to the exporters or importers, or are themselves importers of the allegedly dumped investigated product. In such a case “domestic industry” may mean the rest of the domestic producers:

**Explanation.**—For the purposes of this clause, producers shall be deemed to be related to exporters or importers only if—

(i) one of them directly or indirectly controls the other;

(ii) both of them are directly or indirectly controlled by the same third person; or

(iii) together they directly or indirectly control a third person:

Provided that there are grounds for believing or suspecting that the effect of the relationship is such as to cause the producer concerned to behave differently from non-related producers. For that purpose one shall be deemed to control another when the former is legally or operationally in a position to exercise restraint or direction over the latter”

6.2 As per the information available with the Commission, the domestic Lead Pencils manufacturing industry comprises of the seven units. Following table shows unit-wise installed capacity of the domestic industry:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Unit Name</th>
<th>Installed Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>Indus Pencils Industries Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>32.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>Shah Sons Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>19.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii.</td>
<td>Amjad Ahsan Info Tech Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>19.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv.</td>
<td>Mark Uni-Star Industries Ltd.</td>
<td>12.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v.</td>
<td>Dollar Industries Limited</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi.</td>
<td>Pakistan Pencils Ltd.</td>
<td>1.79*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii</td>
<td>Sayyed Engineers Ltd.</td>
<td>11.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the concerned unit

* Estimated

**Note:** To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to total capacity by taking it equal to 100

7 **Standing of the Application**

7.1 Section 24 of the Act enjoins upon the Commission to assess the standing of the domestic industry on the basis of the degree of support for or opposition to the application expressed by the domestic producers of the domestic like product. Section 24(1) of the Act
Preliminary Determination and Levy of Provisional Antidumping Duties on Dumped Imports of Lead Pencils into Pakistan Originating in and/or Exported from the People’s Republic of China

states as follows:

“... an application shall be considered to have been made by or on behalf of the domestic industry only if it is supported by those domestic producers whose collective output constitutes more than fifty percent of the total production of a domestic like product produced by that portion of the domestic industry expressing either support for or opposition to the application.”

Furthermore, Section 24(2) of the Act provides that:

“... no investigation shall be initiated when domestic producers expressly supporting an application account for less than twenty five percent of the total production of the domestic like product produced by the domestic industry.”

7.2 After initiation of the investigation, the Commission requested all producers in the industry for information necessary for this investigation, however, only two units i.e. M/s Mark Uni-Star Industries Limited and M/s Amjad Ahsan Info Tech Pvt. Ltd. provided data/information to the Commission. On repeated requests three other units namely Dollar Industries Ltd., Shahsons Pvt. Ltd., and Sayyed Engineers Ltd. Provided information on their installed production capacity, volume of production and sales.

7.3 M/s Sayyed Engineers Ltd. has supported the application and has stated that “Please note that in the past years we have been importing finished pencil from China because manufacturing pencil in Pakistan was not feasible. Therefore we strongly recommended for Antidumping Duty on pencils from China.” M/s Shahsons Pvt. Ltd. in its letter dated December 14, 2017 oppose the application made by the Applicant, however, M/s Shah Sons Pvt. Ltd. vide its letter dated March 16, 2018 withdrew its earlier letter dated December 14, 2017 and requested the Commission to treat the company’s undertaking as indifferent in this investigation. M/s Dollar industries Ltd. has opposed this application whereas M/s Pakistan Pencils Ltd. has neither supported nor opposed the application.

7.4 It is pertinent to mention that almost all domestic units in the industry have imported the investigated product during the period of investigation (POI) (please refer paragraph 11 infra for POI). Following table shows the imports of lead pencils by the domestic industry from China:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Unit Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>Dollar Industries Ltd.</td>
<td>2014-15 54.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>Pakistan Pencils Ltd.</td>
<td>2014-15 35.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii.</td>
<td>Sayyed Engineers Ltd.</td>
<td>2014-15 21.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PRAL
Note: To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to the volume of imports by Dollar Industries in the year 2016-17 by taking it equal to 100.

7.5 The following parties submitted that the Applicant as well as M/s Mark Uni-Star Industries Ltd. cannot qualify as domestic industry under provisions of the Act and the Antidumping Agreement as they are themselves importers of the investigated product and their major production is of poly (plastic) lead pencils whereas imports are of wood lead pencils. Therefore, they have requested that the application filed with the Commission in this case should be rejected:

i. China Writing Instruments Association, China;

ii. China Chamber of Commerce for Imports and Exports of Light Industrial Products and Arts-Crafts;

iii. Brothers Pen Company, Karachi;

iv. Real Enterprises, Karachi;

v. Salim & Company, Karachi;

vi. Sultan and Company, Karachi;

vii. Dollar Industries Ltd., Karachi; and

viii. Success Marketing Ways, Multan;

7.6 The above mentioned parties have based their request on the following grounds:

i. “……………. the Applicant produces both wood case pencils as well as plastic case pencils………. The data provided by the Applicant include both wood case and plastic case pencils hence is incorrect and misleading. For the purpose of determination of standing of the domestic industry the Commission should only take into account the production of Wood Case Pencils……….. The Applicant has provided incorrect figures of its production and included production of plastic case pencils to show higher production of pencils and to unlawfully qualify to file an application.”

ii. “…………….Mark Unistar imported around 150,000 Kgs of finished pencils from China during Jul 2014- June 2015 (first year of the POI). Therefore, in the interest of justice Mark Unistar (or Mark Industries) should not have been qualified as a part of domestic industry in terms of Section 2(d) of the Act…………….”

iii. “the Applicant (Indus Pencil) also does not qualify to be a part of domestic industry since it, itself, imported Lead Pencils from China during the year 2014. As per the market information the Applicant imported around 12,000 Kgs of Lead Pencils during 2014 at a price which was prevalent and matches with that of other importers. Therefore, being itself an importer of the investigated product, the Applicant does not qualify to be a part of the
domestic industry in terms of Section 2(d) of the Act  

7.7 The Applicant and M/s Mark Uni-Star Industries Ltd. have submitted the following in response to the above submissions of the importers:

i. “Product under investigation “LEAD PENCILS” is classified under Pakistan Customs Tariff (“PCT”) Heading no. 9609.1000, which is described/defined in PCT as: “Pencils and crayons, with leads encased in a rigid sheath” The word “sheath” here has a noteworthy significance. Sheath is defined by the Cambridge Dictionary as: “a close-fitting covering to protect something”

ii. “As per Explanatory Notes of World customs organization (Brussels Nomenclature) description of Pencil includes “Pencils and crayons, with leads encased in wood or plastics or in some cases in a sheath composed of layers of Paper.”

iii. “Hence in layman terms sheath is essentially the “outer shell” (Case) of the pencils regardless of whether it is wood or plastic which protects the lead inside again regardless of whether it is black or coloured. With all definitions, it is established that “Pencils/ Lead Pencils”, as applied by the applicant and initiated by the NTC, includes Black and Colored Pencil, whether made by Wood or Plastic.”

iv. Lead pencils whether coloured or black, plastic or wooden are all essentially like products under section 2(m) of the Act. Not only these have same visual/appearance but also have same Use, same consumer and are fully substitutable in nature.”

v. “the interest of Mark Uni Star Industries lies in domestic production. This is proven by the fact that Mark Uni Star Industries has not imported pencils after 2014-15 and is in support of Anti-Dumping. Since 2014 Mark Uni Star Industries is running the pencil unit even after making losses due to dumping by China plus has made additional investments.”

7.8 The Commission has considered views/comments as well as explanations provided by the interested parties on the issue of domestic industry and standing of the application keeping in view relevant provisions of the Act, the Antidumping Agreement and the practices of other investigating authorities in the same/similar situations. The Commission has reached on the following conclusions:

i. The investigated product in this investigation is Lead Pencils imported from China without any distinction of lead encased in wood or plastic.

ii. Section 2(d) of the Act as well as Article 4.1 of the Antidumping Agreement provides that, if domestic producers are related to exporters or are themselves
importers of the investigated product the term “domestic industry” may be interpreted as referring to the rest of the producers. Thus, these provisions give an option and discretion to the authority and does not impose an obligation to exclude related and/or importing producers from the definition of the domestic industry.

iii. The WTO Panel in EC – Fasteners (China) found that "the use of the term 'may' in Article 4.1 makes it clear that investigating authorities are not required to exclude related producers or importing producers" and that "there is nothing in Article 3.1, or in Article 4.1, that limits the discretion of investigating authorities to exclude, or not, related or importing domestic producers."

iv. Exclusion of any producer from the definition of the domestic industry is conditional i.e. dependent upon the behavior of the producer concerned. Section 2(d) of the Act stipulates that the concerned producer may be excluded from domestic industry if it “behave differently from non-related producers”. The question of different behavior does not arise as the importing producer itself is the Applicant in this case and the other importing producer is supporting the application.

v. The Applicant and M/s Mark Uni-Star Industries Ltd. are legitimate producer of Lead Pencil in Pakistan and have made considerable investments for production of Lead Pencil in the country. Therefore, their primary objective lies in domestic production and sales of the domestic like product rather than in imports of the investigated product. Further, their imports of lead pencils were marginal as compared to their production during the POI. This fact is also established from the import information obtained from PRAL for imports of lead pencils by the Applicant and the supporting producer (M/s Mark Unistar) and their domestic production, which is provided the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Indus Pencil (Applicant)</th>
<th>Mark Unistar (supporting)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imports</td>
<td>Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>101.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>108.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: PRAL and domestic producers

Note: To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to the production by Indus Pencil in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

7.9 Based on the above information and analysis, the Commission has determined that all units in the industry including the Applicant, M/s Mark Uni Star Industries and Dollar
industries Ltd. are legitimate producers of lead pencils in Pakistan and qualify as the domestic industry. Therefore, the application filed in this instance is in accordance with provisions of the Act and the Antidumping Agreement.

7.10 Based on the information provided in the fore-going paragraphs standing of the application is determined in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Unit Name</th>
<th>% share</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>Indus Pencils Industries Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>39.72</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>Mark Uni-Star Industries Ltd.</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>Supporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii.</td>
<td>M/s Amjad Ahsan Info Tech Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>Supporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv.</td>
<td>Sayyed Engineers Ltd.</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>Supporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v.</td>
<td>Dollar Industries Ltd.</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>Opposing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi.</td>
<td>Shah Sons Pvt. Ltd.</td>
<td>36.18</td>
<td>Indifferent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii.</td>
<td>Pakistan Pencils Ltd.</td>
<td>4.29*</td>
<td>Indifferent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Estimated

7.10 The above table shows that the supporting producers account for 54.57 percent of the total domestic production of domestic like product. Share of supporting producers among the vocal producers (supporting and opposing) is 91.67 percent. Therefore, on the basis of the above information and analysis it is determined that the application is made on behalf of the domestic industry as it fulfills the requirements of Section 24 of the Act.

8 Applicant’s Views

8.1 The Applicant has, *inter alia*, raised the following issues in the application regarding alleged dumping of Lead Pencils and material injury to the domestic industry caused therefrom:

i. Exporters/ producers from China are exporting Lead Pencils to Pakistan at dumped prices;

ii. Lead Pencils imported from China and produced in Pakistan by the domestic industry are like products; and

iii. Imports of Lead Pencils from China into Pakistan at dumped prices has caused and is causing material injury to the domestic industry producing Lead Pencils mainly through:

   a. volume of dumped imports;
   b. decline in profits;
   c. decline in return on investment;
   d. price undercutting;
   e. price depression;
   f. magnitude of the margin of dumping;
   g. Negative effect on inventories;
The Applicant had made following requests to the Commission:

i. Initiate an investigation against alleged dumping of Lead Pencils from China under Section 23 of the Act;

ii. Impose appropriate antidumping duty on alleged dumped imports of Lead Pencils in accordance with Section 50 of the Act; and

iii. Impose provisional measures under Section 43 of the Act to prevent injury being caused during the investigation.

9 **Initiation of Investigation**

9.1 The Commission, in accordance with Section 23 of the Act examined the accuracy and adequacy of the evidence and information provided in the application, and established that there was sufficient evidence of alleged dumping of Lead Pencils into Pakistan from China and consequent alleged material injury to the domestic industry. Therefore, the Commission decided on December 08, 2017 to initiate an investigation in this case.

9.2 The Commission issued a notice of initiation in accordance with Section 27 of the Act, which was published in the Official Gazette of Pakistan and in two widely circulated national newspapers (one in English language and one in Urdu Language) on December 13, 2017. Investigation concerning alleged dumped imports of Lead Pencils into Pakistan originating in and/or exported from China was thus initiated on December 13, 2017 in accordance with Section 27(2) of the Act.

9.3 In pursuance of Section 27 of the Act the Commission notified Embassy of China in Islamabad of initiation of the investigation (by sending a copy of the notice of initiation) on December 13, 2017 with a request to forward it to all exporters/ producers involved in production, sales and export of Lead Pencils in China. Copy of the notice of initiation was also sent to exporters/ producers directly (whose addresses were available with the Commission), Pakistani importers, the Applicant and other domestic producers on December 13 to 18, 2017.

9.4 In accordance with Section 28 of the Act, on December 18, 2017 copies of full text of the written application (non-confidential version) were sent to all exporters/producers, whose complete addresses were available with the Commission and to the Embassy of China in Islamabad with a request to forward it to all exporters/ producers in China involved in

---

1 The official Gazette of Pakistan (Extraordinary) dated December 13, 2018.
2 The daily ‘The Express Tribune’ and ‘Dunya’ of December 13, 2018 issues.
production, sale and/or export of Lead Pencils.

10. **Investigated Product, Domestic Like Product and Like Product**

10.1 Sub-sections (k), (e) and (m) of Section 2 of the Act defines investigated product, domestic like product and like product as follows:

i. **Investigated Product:**
   “a product, which is subject to an antidumping investigation as described in the notice of initiation of the investigation”.

ii. **Domestic Like Product:**
   “means a like product that is produced by the domestic industry”.

iii. **Like Product:**
   “a product which is alike in all respects to an investigated product or, in the absence of such a product, another product which, although not alike in all respects, has characteristics closely resembling those of the investigated product”.

10.2 For the purposes of this investigation and given the definitions set out above, investigated product, domestic like product and like product are defined in the following paragraphs.

10.3 **Investigated Product:**

Investigated product is pencils with lead encased in a rigid (wood or plastic) sheath (“Lead Pencils”). It is classified under PCT Heading No. 9609.1000. Investigated product is used for writing/coloring in schools, offices etc. Following is the customs tariff structure applicable on import of the Lead Pencils:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCT No</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9609.1000</td>
<td>Pencils (other than Pencils of heading 96.08), crayons, Pencils leads, pastels, drawing charcoals, writing or drawing chalks and tailors' chalks. - Pencils and crayons, with leads encased in a rigid sheath</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Customs Duty (MFN)</th>
<th>20%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pak-China FTA</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory Duty</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Tax</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.4 **Domestic Like Product:**
The domestic like product is pencils with lead encased in a rigid (wood or plastic) sheath (“Lead Pencils”). It is classified under PCT Heading No. 9609.1000. The domestic like product is used for writing/coloring in schools, offices etc.

10.5 **Like Product:**

In order to establish whether the investigated product and the domestic like product are alike products, as contended by the Applicant, the Commission has reviewed all relevant information received/obtained from various sources including the Applicant, exporters and importers in the following terms:

i. basic raw materials used in the production of the investigated product, and the domestic like product are the same or similar;

iii. the investigated product and the domestic like product are produced with a similar manufacturing process;

iii. both the products have similar appearance. Further, physical properties, nature and quality of the investigated product and the domestic like product are also similar;

iv. both the products are used for similar purposes and are substitutable in use; and

v. both the products are classified under the same PCT/HS heading numbers.

10.6 In light of the above, the Commission has determined that the investigated product and the domestic like product are alike products.

11 **Period of Investigation (“POI”)**

11.1 In terms of Section 36 of the Act, Period of Investigation (“POI”) is:

i. “for the purposes of an investigation of dumping, an investigation period shall normally cover twelve months preceding the month of initiation of the investigation for which data is available and in no case the investigation period shall be shorter than six months.”

ii. “for the purposes of an investigation of injury, the investigation period shall normally cover thirty-six months:

   “Provided that the Commission may at its sole discretion, select a shorter or longer period if it deems it appropriate in view of the available information regarding domestic industry and an investigated product”.

11.2 The POI selected for the purposes of this investigation for dumping and injury are, as
Preliminary Determination and Levy of Provisional Antidumping Duties on Dumped Imports of Lead Pencils into Pakistan Originating in and/or Exported from the People’s Republic of China

follows:

For determination of dumping: From July 01, 2016 to June 30, 2017
For determination of injury: From July 01, 2014 to June 30, 2017

12 Exporters/ Producers Involved in Alleged Dumping of Lead Pencils

The Applicant identified 115 exporters/ producers involved in alleged dumping of the investigated product from China. The Applicant has stated that there may be other exporters and producers of the investigated product, which are not known to him, therefore, the Applicant has requested for imposition of antidumping duty on all imports of the investigated product originating in and/or exported from China.

13 Information/Data Gathering

13.1 The Commission sent exporter’s questionnaire on December 18, 2017 to the Embassy of the China in Islamabad with a request to forward it to all exporters/ producers of the investigated product in China. Exporter’s questionnaire was also sent directly to exporters/ producers based in the China whose addresses were available to the Commission on December 18, 2017 for collection of data and information necessary for this investigation. The exporters/ producers were asked to supply information within 37 days of the dispatch of questionnaire.

13.2 In response to the Commission’s request for information, on January 17, 2018 following exporters/ producers requested for extension in the deadline to submit information on exporter’s questionnaire:

i) Zhejiang Shengming Stationery Co. Ltd. (Shengming Stationery)
ii) Zhejiang Hongye Pencil Industry Co. Ltd. (Hongye Pencil)
iii) Fujian Songxi Huilian Stationery Co. Ltd. (Huilian Stationery)
iv) Zhejiang Qingyuan Baolong Stationery Ltd. (Baolong Stationery)
v) Zhejiang Best Intenerated Pencil Wood Co. Ltd. (Best Intenerated)
vi) Zhejiang Hongxing Stationery Co. Ltd. (Hongxing Stationery)

13.3 The Commission granted extension of two weeks to submit data/ information on exporter’s questionnaire.

13.5 Best Intenerated’s response on exporter’s questionnaire was received on January 31, 2018, which was found deficient in certain aspects. Deficiencies were communicated to Best Intenerated vide a letter dated March 08, 2018. Best Intenerated submitted its response to the deficiencies on March 26, 2018. Information submitted by Best Intenerated is used for determination of its individual dumping margin.

13.5 Hongxing Stationery’s response on exporter’s questionnaire was received on January 31, 2018, which was found deficient in certain aspects. Deficiencies were communicated to
Hongxing Stationery vide a letter dated March 08, 2018. Hongxing Stationery submitted its response to the deficiencies on March 26, 2018. Information submitted by Hongxing Stationery is used for determination of its individual dumping margin.

13.6 Shengming Stationery’s response on exporter’s questionnaire was received on January 31, 2018, which was found deficient in certain aspects. Deficiencies were communicated to Shengming Stationery vide a letter dated March 08, 2018. Shengming Stationery submitted its response to the deficiencies on March 26, 2018. Information submitted by Shengming Stationery is used for determination of its individual dumping margin.

13.7 Hongye Pencil’s response on exporter’s questionnaire was received on January 31, 2018, which was found deficient in certain aspects. Deficiencies were communicated to Hongye Pencil vide a letter dated March 08, 2018. Hongye Pencil submitted its response to the deficiencies on March 26, 2018. Information submitted by Hongye Pencil is used for determination of its individual dumping margin.

13.8 Huilian Stationery’s response on exporter’s questionnaire was received on January 31, 2018 which was found deficient in certain aspects. Deficiencies were communicated to Huilian Stationery vide a letter dated March 08, 2018. Huilian Stationery submitted its response to the deficiencies on March 26, 2018. Information submitted by Huilian Stationery is used for determination of its individual dumping margin.

13.9 Baolong Stationery’s response on exporter’s questionnaire was received on January 31, 2018 which was found deficient in certain aspects. Deficiencies were communicated to Baolong Stationery vide a letter dated March 08, 2018. Baolong Stationery submitted its response to the deficiencies on March 26, 2018. Information submitted by Baolong Stationery is used for determination of its individual dumping margin.

13.10 On December 18, 2017 questionnaires were also sent to other known producers of Lead Pencils and Pakistani importers of Lead Pencils, requesting them to provide information within 37 days of the issuance of the questionnaire. Domestic producers namely Mark Industries Limited and Amjad Ahsan Info Tech Pvt. Ltd. have provided data/information to the Commission. None of the importers provided data/information to the Commission on the prescribed questionnaire.

13.11 On January 26, 2018 the non-cooperating exporters/ producers, other domestic producers and importers were informed through a letter that, as they have not responded to the Commission in providing necessary information, the Commission will be constrained to make preliminary and/or final determination of dumping of the investigated product and/or material injury to the domestic industry for them on the basis of “Best Information Available” in terms of Section 32 of the Act and Article 6.8 of Antidumping Agreement, including those contained in the application submitted by the domestic industry.

13.12 The Commission has an access to the database of import statistics of Pakistan
Revenue Automation Limited ("PRAL"), the data processing arm of the Federal Board of Revenue, Government of Pakistan. For the purposes of this preliminary determination the Commission has used import data obtained from PRAL in addition to the information provided by the domestic producers and cooperating exporters.

13.13 Interested parties were also invited to make their views/comments and submit information (if any) relevant to this investigation within 45 days of the initiation of this investigation. Following parties have submitted views/comments, which are duly considered by the Commission while making this preliminary determination:

i. China Writing Instruments Association;
ii. China Chamber of Commerce for Import and Export of Light Industrial Products & Arts – Crafts;
iii. M/s Sayyed Engineers Ltd.
iv. M/s Dollar Industries Pvt. Ltd.;
v. M/s Zen Tax;
vi. M/s Brother Pen Company;
vii. M/s Real Enterprises;
viii. M/s Success Marketing Ways;
ix. M/s Salim & Company; and
x. M/s Sultan & Company.

13.14 Views/Comments of the interested parties germane to this investigation and response of the Commission are provided at Annex-I of this report.

13.15 Thus, the Commission has sought from all available sources the relevant data and information deemed necessary for the purposes of determination of dumping of the investigated product and material injury to the domestic industry in this investigation.

14 Verification of the Information

14.1 In terms of Sections 32(4) and 35 of the Act and Rule 12 of the Rules, during the course of an investigation, the Commission shall satisfy itself as to the accuracy of the information, and for this purpose verify the information supplied by the interested parties. Accordingly, the Commission has satisfied itself to the accuracy and adequacy of information and evidence supplied by the interested parties to the extent possible for the purposes of this preliminary determination.

14.2 In order to verify information/data provided by the Applicant and other domestic producers, officers of the Commission conducted on-the-spot investigations at the office and plant of M/s Mark Uni-star Industries Ltd. from February 27, 2018 to March 01, 2018. Officers of the Commission conducted on-the-spot investigations at the offices and plants the Applicant and M/s Amjad Ahsan Info Tech Pvt. Ltd. on March 12 to16, 2018.

14.3 In order to verify information/data provided by the cooperating exporters/
producers, officers of the Commission conducted on-the-spot investigations at their offices in China from April 23, 2018 to May 05, 2018.

15 **Public File**

The Commission, in accordance with Rule 7 of the Rules, has established and maintained a public file at its office. This file remains available to the interested parties for review and copying from Monday to Thursday between 11.00 hours to 13.00 hours throughout the investigation (except public holidays). This file contains non-confidential versions of the application, responses to the questionnaires, submissions, notices, correspondence, and other documents for disclosure to the interested parties.

16 **Confidentiality**

16.1 In terms of Section 31 of the Act, the Commission shall keep confidential any information submitted to it which is by nature confidential or determined by the Commission to be of confidential nature for any other reason or provided as confidential by the interested parties upon good cause shown to be kept confidential.

16.2 The Applicant, the other domestic producers and the cooperating exporters have requested to keep confidential the information which is by nature confidential in terms of Section 31 of the Act. This information includes data relating to sales, sale prices, cost to make and sell, inventories, production, profit/(loss), return on investment, salaries & wages, number of employees etc.

16.3 On the basis of requests made by the interested parties, the Commission has determined the confidentiality in light of Section 31 of the Act and for the reasons that disclosure of such information may be of significant competitive advantage to a competitor, or because its disclosure would have a significant adverse effect upon the interested parties providing such information. Therefore, the Commission kept all such information confidential for which the interested parties made a request to keep it confidential. However, in terms of Sub-Section (5) of the Section 31 of the Act non-confidential summaries of all confidential information, which provides reasonable understanding of the substance, have been placed in public file.

**B. DETERMINATION OF DUMPING**

17 **Dumping**

In terms of Section 4 of the Act dumping is defined as follows:

"an investigated product shall be considered to be dumped if it is introduced
into the commerce of Pakistan at a price which is less than its normal value”.

18 Normal Value

18.1 In terms of Section 5 of the Act “normal value” is defined as follows:

“a comparable price paid or payable, in the ordinary course of trade, for sales of a like product when destined for consumption in an exporting country”.

Further, Section 6 of the Act states:

“(1) when there are no sales of like product in the ordinary course of trade in domestic market of an exporting country, or when such sales do not permit a proper comparison because of any particular market situation or low volume of the sales in the domestic market of the exporting country, the Commission shall establish normal value of an investigated product on the basis of either:

“a) the comparable price of the like product when exported to an appropriate third country provided that this price is representative; or

“b) the cost of production in the exporting country plus a reasonable amount for administrative, selling and general costs and profits.

“(2) Sales of a like product destined for consumption in domestic market of an exporting country or sales to an appropriate third country may be considered to be a sufficient quantity for the determination of normal value if such sales constitute five per cent or more of the sales of an investigated product to Pakistan”.

18.2 Ordinary course of trade is defined in Section 7 of the Act as follows:

“(1) The Commission may treat sales of a like product in domestic market of an exporting country or sales to a third country at prices below per unit, fixed and variable, cost of production plus administrative, selling and other costs as not being in the ordinary course of trade by reason of price and may disregard such sales in determining normal value only if the Commission determines that such sales were made –

“(a) within an extended period of time which shall normally be a period of one year and in no case less than a period of six months;

“(b) in substantial quantities; and
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“(c) at prices which do not provide for the recovery of all costs within a reasonable period of time.

“(2) For the purposes of sub-clause (b) of sub-section (1), sales below per unit cost shall be deemed to be in substantial quantities if the Commission establishes that –

“(a) a weighted average selling price of transactions under consideration for the determination of normal value is below a weighted average cost; or

“(b) the volume of sales below per unit cost represents twenty per cent or more of the volume sold in transactions under consideration for the determination of normal value.

“(3) If prices which are below per unit cost at the time of sale are above the weighted average cost for the period of investigation, the Commission shall consider such prices as providing for recovery of costs within a reasonable period of time.”

19 Export Price

The “export price” is defined in Section 10 of the Act as follows:

“a price actually paid or payable for an investigated product when sold for export from an exporting country to Pakistan”.

20 Dumping Determination

20.1 As stated earlier, in response to the Commission’s request for information following six exporters/ producers of Lead Pencils from China have provided information:

i. Zhejiang Best Intenerated Pencil Wood Co. Ltd. (“Best Intenerated”)ii. Zhejiang Hongxing Stationery Co. Ltd. (“Hongxing Stationery”)


vi. Zhejiang Qingyuan Baolong Stationery Ltd. (“Baolong Stationery”)

20.3 Individual dumping margins in this investigation are determined for the above-mentioned six exporters/ producers on the basis of the information provided by them and verified by the Commission. Normal value, export price and individual dumping margins for the above-mentioned exporters/producers have been determined in accordance with Part III, IV and V of the Act on the basis of the information provided by them. However, dumping margin for all other non-cooperating exporters/producers from China has been determined...
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on the basis of best information available.

21  **Determination of Normal Value for Zhejiang Best Intenerated Pencil Wood Co. Ltd. (“Best Intenerated”)**

21.1 Normal value for Best Intenerated has been determined on the basis of the information provided by it in response to the questionnaire.

21.2 Best Intenerated sales of Lead Pencils in its domestic market during the POI were to related party and unrelated parties. It sold 83.86 percent of its total sales to related party, which was meant to export whereas 16.14 percent of its total sales were made to unrelated parties for domestic consumption. These sales are in sufficient quantities to determine normal value in terms of Section 6(2) of the Act, as these are more than 5 percent of the export sales of the investigated product exported by Best Intenerated/ Songxi Wanda Trading Co., Ltd (“Wanda” a related company of Best Intenerated) to Pakistan during POI.

21.3 Section 7 of the Act requires the Commission to determine ordinary course of trade for domestic sales to determine normal value, which are normally determined by comparing gross price with cost to make and sell. Best Intenerated maintains only one cost of production of all types of Lead Pencils in its accounting system, therefore, the Commission could not verify type-wise cost to make and sell of Lead Pencils during on-the-spot verification. However, cost to make and sell is determined separately for black lead pencils and colour lead pencils on the basis of information obtained during on-the-spot investigation on raw materials/inputs used and or consumed by the Best Intenerated for production of black lead or colour lead pencils. Therefore, ordinary course of trade is determined separately for black lead pencils and colour lead pencils on the basis their respective cost to make and sell during the POI.

21.4 To determine normal value the lead pencils have been divided into two groups i.e black lead pencil and colour lead pencil. Investigation has revealed that below costs sales of the like product were in substantial quantities, 34.41 percent for black lead pencils and 23.18 percent for colour lead pencils in terms of Section 7(2) of the Act. Furthermore, below costs sales were in extended period of time and its prices did not provide recovery of all costs within the POI. Thus, in determination of normal value the Commission has disregarded sales, which were not in the ordinary course of trade in accordance with provisions of Section 7 of the Act.

21.5 To arrive at the ex-factory price, Best Intenerated has claimed adjustments on account of inland freight, handling cost and packing cost. The Commission has accepted these adjustments and the normal value at ex-factory level for the like product is worked out by deducting value of these adjustments from the gross price.

22  **Determination of Export Price for Zhejiang Best Intenerated/ Songxi Wanda Trading Co., Ltd (“Wanda”)**
22.1 Wanda is a related company of the Best Intenerated and it is a trading company. It is responsible for exports of the products produced by the Best Intenerated. Wanda was engaged in export of the lead pencils to the Pakistan and other countries during the POI. As per sale arrangements between the two related companies Wanda purchase finished products from Best Intenerated and exports to the different countries at its own price. Export price for Best Intenerated/ Wanda has been determined on the basis of the information provided by them on their export sales to Pakistan during the POI.

22.2 As per the information provided by Best Intenerated/Wanda 87 percent of its total exports of the investigated product during the POI were to Pakistan, which were to unrelated parties. For like to like comparison, the investigated product is divided into black lead pencils and colour lead pencils and the export price is determined separately for black lead pencils and colour lead pencils.

22.3 Best Intenerated/ Wanda claimed that its all exports of the investigated product during POI were at FOB basis, however, during on-the-spot investigation the Commission found that certain transactions were at C&F basis. To arrive at the ex-factory level, adjustments on account: of credit cost, inland freight, handling cost, bank charges, non-refunded VAT, non-refunded other taxes (urban tax and education tax) packing cost, Wanda’s operating cost and Wanda’s profit have been made. These adjustments were verified during on-the-spot investigation conducted at its premises. Export price at ex-factory level for the investigated product is worked out by deducting value of these adjustments from the gross price.

23 Determination of Normal Value for Zhejiang Hongxing Stationery Co. Ltd.

23.1 Normal value for Zhejiang Hongxing Stationery Co. Ltd. (“Hongxing Stationery”) has been determined on the basis of the information provided by it in response to the questionnaire.

23.2 Hongxing Stationery sales of Lead Pencils in its domestic market during the POI were to related party and unrelated parties. It sold 31.18 percent of its total sales to related party, which was meant to export whereas 68.32 percent of its total sales were made to unrelated parties for domestic consumption. These sales are in sufficient quantities to determine normal value in terms of Section 6(2) of the Act, as these are more than 5 percent of the export sales of the investigated product exported by Hongxing Stationery/ Zhejiang Dezhi Imp & Exp Co. Ltd (“Dehzi”, a related company of Hongxing Stationery) to Pakistan during POI.

23.3 Section 7 of the Act requires the Commission to determine ordinary course of trade for domestic sales to determine normal value, which are normally determined by comparing gross price with cost to make and sell. Hongxing Stationery maintains separate cost of production of different types of Lead Pencils based on an internal cost allocation criterion on the basis of standard inputs and costs. According to the Hongxing Stationery the cost
allocation criterion is based upon the inputs used and value addition. On predefined criterion Hongxing Stationery allocates every month actual cost incurred during the month. During on-the-spot investigation conducted at its premises the Hongxing Stationery was asked to provide documentary evidence in support of cost allocation criterion and inquired whether there is any universally accepted criterion or input output ratios to develop such kind of criterion. In response Hongxing Stationery stated that this criterion was internally devised and no documentary evidence in support of the cost allocation criterion is available. Therefore, the Commission could not verify type-wise cost of production of different types of Lead Pencils during on-the-spot verification. However, cost to make and sell is determined separately for black lead pencils and colour lead pencils on the basis of information obtained during on-the-spot investigation. Therefore, ordinary course of trade is determined separately for black lead pencils and colour lead pencils on the basis their respective cost to make and sell during the POI.

23.4 To determine normal value the lead pencils have been divided into two groups i.e black lead pencil and colour lead pencil. Investigation has revealed that below costs sales of the like product were not in substantial quantities in terms of Section 7(2) of the Act. Therefore, normal value is determined on the basis of total sales to unrelated customers.

23.5 To arrive at the ex-factory price, Hongxing Stationery has claimed adjustments on account of inland freight, and credit cost. During on-the-spot investigation conducted at its premises the Hongxing Stationery was unable to substantiate its claim relating to credit cost, therefore, this adjustment has not been accepted, whereas adjustment on account of inland freight has been accepted and the normal value at ex-factory level for the like product is worked out by deducting value of inland freight from the gross price.

24 Determination of Export Price for Zhejiang Hongxing Stationery Co. Ltd./ Zhejiang Dezhi Imp & Exp Co. Ltd (“Dehzi”)

24.1 Dehzi is a related company of the Hongxing Stationery and it is a trading company. It is responsible for exports of the products produced by the Hongxing Stationery. Dehzi was engaged in export of the lead pencils to the Pakistan and other countries during the POI. As per sale arrangements between the two related companies Dehzi purchase finished products from Hongxing Stationery and exports to the different countries at its own price. Hongxing Stationery itself has also exported the investigated product to Pakistan during the POI. Export price for Hongxing Stationery/ Dehzi has been determined on the basis of the information provided by them on their export sales to Pakistan during the POI.

24.2 According to the information provided by Hongxing Stationery/ Dehzi they exported 21.14 percent of their total exports of Lead Pencils to to Pakistan during the POI. Their all export sales to Pakistan, during the POI, were to unrelated parties. For like to like comparison, the investigated product is divided into black lead pencils and colour lead pencils and the export price is determined separately for black lead pencils and colour lead pencils.
24.3 Hongxing Stationery/ Dehzi exports of the investigated product to Pakistan during POI were at C&F basis. To arrive at the ex-factory level, adjustments on account of: ocean freight, inland freight, handling cost, credit cost, bank charges, non-refunded VAT, non-refunded other taxes (urban tax and education tax), Dehzi’s operating cost and Dehzi’s profit have been made. These adjustments were verified during on-the-spot investigation conducted at its premises. Export price at ex-factory level for the investigated product is worked out by deducting value of these adjustments from the gross price.

25 Determination of Normal Value for Zhejiang Shengming Stationery Co. Ltd.

25.1 Normal value for Zhejiang Shengming Stationery Co. Ltd. (“Shengming Stationery”) has been determined on the basis of the information provided by it in response to the questionnaire.

25.2 Shengming Stationery sold 49.45 percent of its total sales of Lead Pencils in its domestic market during the POI. These sales are in sufficient quantities to determine normal value in terms of Section 6(2) of the Act, as these are more than 5 percent of the export sales of the investigated product exported by Shengming Stationery to Pakistan during POI.

25.3 Section 7 of the Act requires the Commission to determine ordinary course of trade for domestic sales to determine normal value, which are normally determined by comparing gross price with cost to make and sell. Shengming Stationery maintains only one cost of production for all types of lead pencils in its accounting system. As separate type wise cost of production is not available, therefore, ordinary course of trade is determined on the basis of its combine cost to make and sell during the POI.

25.4 To determine normal value, the lead pencils have been divided into two groups i.e. black lead pencil and colour lead pencil. Investigation has revealed that below costs sales of the like product were in substantial quantities (79.59% of black lead and 70.10% of colour lead) in terms of Section 7(2) of the Act. Furthermore, below costs sales were in extended period of time and its prices did not provide recovery of all costs within the POI. Thus, in determination of normal value the Commission has disregarded sales, which were not in the ordinary course of trade in accordance with provisions of Section 7 of the Act.

25.5 To arrive at the ex-factory price, Shengming Stationery has claimed adjustments on account of credit cost, eraser, sharpener and packing cost. The Commission has not accepted adjustment on account of packing cost because the Shengming Stationery was not able to substantiate with documentary evidence during on-the-spot investigation. The Commission has accepted other adjustments and the normal value at ex-factory level for the like product is worked out by deducting value of these adjustments from the gross price.

26 Determination of Export Price for Zhejiang Shengming Stationery Co. Ltd.

26.1 Export price for Shengming Stationery has been determined on the basis of the
information provided by it on its export sales to Pakistan during the POI.

26.2 According to the information provided by Shengming Stationery it exported 50.55 percent of its total sales of Lead Pencils to all export markets during the POI. Out of which 16.38 percent were exported. Its all export sales to Pakistan, during the POI were to unrelated customers. For like to like comparison, the investigated product is divided into black lead pencils and colour lead pencils and the export price is determined separately for black lead pencils and colour lead pencils.

26.3 Shengming Stationery exported investigated product at FOB basis. To arrive at the ex-factory level, Shengming Stationery reported adjustments on account of credit cost, inland freight, certificate of origin fee, handling cost, bank charges, and unrefunded VAT. The Commission has accepted these adjustments and export price at ex-factory level for the investigated product is worked out by deducting value of these adjustments from the gross price.

27 **Determination of Normal Value for Zhejiang Hongye Pencil Industry Co. Ltd.**

27.1 Normal value for Zhejiang Hongye Pencil Industry Co. Ltd. ("Hongye Pencil") has been determined on the basis of the information provided by it in response to the questionnaire.

27.2 Hongye Pencil sold 56.09 percent of its total sales of Lead Pencils in its domestic market during the POI. These sales are in sufficient quantities to determine normal value in terms of Section 6(2) of the Act, as these are more than 5 percent of the export sales of the investigated product exported by Hongye Pencil to Pakistan during POI.

27.3 Section 7 of the Act requires the Commission to determine ordinary course of trade for domestic sales to determine normal value, which are normally determined by comparing gross price with cost to make and sell. Hongye Pencil maintains only one cost of production for all types of lead pencils in its accounting system. As separate type wise cost of production is not available, therefore, ordinary course of trade is determined on the basis of its combine cost to make and sell during the POI.

27.4 To determine normal value, the lead pencils have been divided into two groups i.e black lead pencil and colour lead pencil. Investigation has revealed that below costs sales of the like product were in substantial quantities (88.58% of black lead pencils and 86.64% of colour lead pencils) in terms of Section 7(2) of the Act. Furthermore, below costs sales were in extended period of time and its prices did not provide recovery of all costs within the POI. Thus, in determination of normal value the Commission has disregarded sales, which were not in the ordinary course of trade in accordance with provisions of Section 7 of the Act.

27.5 To arrive at the ex-factory price, Hongye Pencil has claimed adjustments on account of credit cost, inland freight and packing cost. The Commission has not accepted adjustment on account of packing cost because the Hongye Stationery was not able to substantiate with
documentary evidence during on-the-spot investigation. The Commission has accepted other adjustments and the normal value at ex-factory level for the like product is worked out by deducting value of these adjustments from the gross price.

28 **Determination of Export Price for Zhejiang Hongye Pencil Industry Co. Ltd.**

28.1 Export price for Hongye Pencil has been determined on the basis of the information provided by it on its export sales to Pakistan during the POI.

28.2 According to the information provided by Hongye Pencil it exported 43.91 percent of its total sales of Lead Pencils to all export markets, out of which 36.56 percent were exported to Pakistan during the POI. It all export sales to Pakistan, during the POI were directly to un-related customers. For like to like comparison, the investigated product is divided into black lead pencils and colour lead pencils and the export price is determined separately for black lead pencils and colour lead pencils.

28.3 Hongye Pencil exported investigated product at FOB basis. To arrive at the ex-factory level, Hongye Pencil reported adjustments on account of credit cost, inland freight, certificate of origin fee, handling cost, bank charges, un-refunded and VAT. The Commission has accepted these adjustments and export price at ex-factory level for the investigated product is worked out by deducting value of these adjustments from the gross price.

29 **Determination of Normal Value for Fujian Songxi Huilian Stationery Co. Ltd.**

29.1 Normal value for Fujian Songxi Huilian Stationery Co. Ltd. (“Huilian Stationery”) has been determined on the basis of the information provided by it in response to the questionnaire.

29.2 Huilian Stationery sold 82.70 percent of its total sales of Lead Pencils in its domestic market during the POI. These sales are in sufficient quantities to determine normal value in terms of Section 6(2) of the Act, as these are more than 5 percent of the export sales of the investigated product exported by Huilian Stationery to Pakistan during POI.

29.3 Section 7 of the Act requires the Commission to determine ordinary course of trade for domestic sales to determine normal value, which are normally determined by comparing gross price with cost to make and sell. Huilian Stationery maintains only one cost of production for all types of lead pencils in its accounting system. As separate type wise cost of production is not available, therefore, ordinary course of trade is determined on the basis of its combine cost to make and sell during the POI.

29.4 To determine normal value, the lead pencils have been divided into two groups i.e black lead pencil and colour lead pencil. Investigation has revealed that below costs sales of the like product were in substantial quantities (59.67% of black lead pencils and 62.31% of
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colour lead pencils) in terms of Section 7(2) of the Act. Furthermore, below costs sales were in extended period of time and its prices did not provide recovery of all costs within the POI. Thus, in determination of normal value the Commission has disregarded sales, which were not in the ordinary course of trade in accordance with provisions of Section 7 of the Act.

29.5 To arrive at the ex-factory price, Huilian Stationery has claimed adjustments on account of credit cost, eraser, sharpener and packing cost. The Commission has not accepted adjustment on account of packing cost because the Huilian Stationery was not able to substantiate with documentary evidence during on-the-spot investigation. The Commission has accepted other adjustments and the normal value at ex-factory level for the like product is worked out by deducting value of these adjustments from the gross price.

30 Determination of Export Price for Fujian Songxi Huilian Stationery Co. Ltd.

30.1 Export price for Huilian Stationery has been determined on the basis of the information provided by it on its export sales to Pakistan during the POI.

30.2 According to the information provided by Huilian Stationery it exported 17.30 percent of its total sales of Lead Pencils to all export markets including Pakistan during the POI, out of which 27.55 percent were exported to Pakistan during the POI. All export sales to Pakistan, during the POI, were directly to un-related customers. For like to like comparison, the investigated product is divided into black lead pencils and colour lead pencils and the export price is determined separately for black lead pencils and colour lead pencils.

30.3 Huilian Stationery exported investigated product at FOB basis. To arrive at the ex-factory level, Huilian Stationery reported adjustments on account of credit cost, inland freight, certificate of origin fee, handling cost, bank charges, and unrefunded VAT. The Commission has accepted these adjustments and export price at ex-factory level for the investigated product is worked out by deducting value of these adjustments from the gross price.

31 Determination of Normal Value for Zhejiang Qingyuan Baolong Stationery Ltd.

31.1 Normal value for Zhejiang Qingyuan Baolong Stationery Ltd. ("Baolong Stationery") has been determined on the basis of the information provided by it in response to the questionnaire.

31.2 Baolong Stationery sold 82.26 percent of its total sales of lead pencils in its domestic market during the POI. These sales are in sufficient quantities to determine normal value in terms of Section 6(2) of the Act, as these are more than 5 percent of the export sales of the investigated product exported by Baolong Stationery to Pakistan during POI.

31.3 Section 7 of the Act requires the Commission to determine ordinary course of trade
for domestic sales to determine normal value, which are normally determined by comparing
gross price with cost to make and sell. Baolong Stationery maintains only one cost of
production for all types of Lead Pencils in its accounting system. As separate type-wise cost
of production is not available, therefore, ordinary course of trade is determined on the basis
of its combine cost to make and sell during the POI.

31.4 During POI Baolong Stationery exported the investigated product to Pakistan
through three transactions only on January 04, 2017, April 17, 2017 and June 07, 2017.
Therefore, in this case normal value (domestic price) is taken from the sales transactions of
these dates or of closer date (in case no sale was made in the same date or the sales in the
same date was not at ordinary course of trade).

31.5 To arrive at the ex-factory price, Baolong Stationery has claimed adjustments on
account of credit cost and packing cost. The Commission has accepted these adjustment on
account of credit cost, however adjustment on account of packing cost is not accepted
because the Baolong Stationery was not able to substantiate with documentary evidence
during on-the-spot investigation. Normal value at ex-factory level for the like product is
worked out by deducting value of credit cost from the gross price.

32 Determination of Export Price for Zhejiang Qingyuan Baolong Stationery Ltd.

32.1 Export price for Baolong Stationery has been determined on the basis of the
information provided by it on its export sales to Pakistan during the POI.

32.2 According to the information provided by Baolong Stationery it exported 17.74
percent of its total sales of Lead Pencils to all export markets, out of which 45.83 percent
were exported to Pakistan during the POI. Its exports to Pakistan during the POI were made
through three transactions only on: January 04, 2017, April 17, 2017 and June 07, 2017.
During POI Baolong Stationer exported only color lead pencils to Pakistan. Export sales to
Pakistan, during the POI were to un-related customers.

32.3 Baolong Stationery exported investigated product at FOB basis. To arrive at the ex-
factory level, Baolong Stationery reported adjustments on account of credit cost, inland
freight, certificate of origin fee, handling cost, bank charges, and unrefunded VAT. The
Commission has accepted these adjustments and export price at ex-factory level for the
investigated product is worked out by deducting value of these adjustments from the gross
price.

33 Determination of Dumping Margin for All Other Chinese Exporters

Since, during the POI major share in exports of the investigated product to Pakistan
was of the cooperating exporters whose individual dumping margins have been determined,
therefore, The Commission has decided to apply highest individual dumping margin to all
other Chinese exporters as a residual dumping margin.
34. **Dumping Margin**

34.1 The Act defines “dumping margin” in relation to a product as “the amount by which its normal value exceeds its export price”.

34.2 The Commission has also complied with the requirements of Section 11 of the Act in comparison of normal value and export price. Section 12 of the Act provides three methods for fair comparison of normal value and export price in order to establish dumping margin. The Commission has calculated dumping margin by comparing weighted average normal value with weighted average export price at ex-factory level, except in case of Baolong Stationery where transaction to transaction approach is adopted due to limited export sales transaction on only three dates (please refer paragraph 31 supra).

34.3 The Commission has determined individual dumping margins for the six cooperating exporters/ producers from China. Dumping margins and antidumping duty rates for all other exporters from China, who did not cooperate and have not provided requisite information is determined as the highest individual dumping margin among the cooperating exporter/ producer.

34.4 Taking into account all requirements set out above, the preliminary dumping margins are provided in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter/ Producer Name</th>
<th>Dumping Margin as % of Export Price</th>
<th>C&amp;F Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Best Intenerated Pencil Wood Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>5.12%</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Hongxing Stationery Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>25.65%</td>
<td>22.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Shengming Stationery Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>37.24%</td>
<td>34.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Hongye Pencil Industry Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>66.94%</td>
<td>61.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujian Songxi Huilian Stationery Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>24.76%</td>
<td>22.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Qingyuan Baolong Stationery Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>27.81%</td>
<td>25.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All others from China</td>
<td>66.94%</td>
<td>61.58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35 **De minimis Dumping Margins and Negligible Volume of Dumped Imports**

35.1 In terms of Section 41(3)(a) of the Act, dumping margin shall be considered to be negligible if it is less than two percent, expressed as a percentage of the export price. Dumping margins, set out in paragraph 34.4 supra, are above the de minimis level.

35.2 In terms of Section 41(3) (b) of the Act, volume of dumped imports shall normally be regarded as negligible if it accounts for less than 3 percent of total imports of the like product. The data on volume of imports of lead pencils is obtained from PRAL. Percentage share of imports of lead pencils from all sources during the POI (July 01, 2016 to June 30, 2017) is given in the table below:
Table-VII
Volume of Imports of Lead Pencils during POI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/ Source of Import</th>
<th>% share in total imports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dumped Source - China</td>
<td>98.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Sources</td>
<td>1.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PRAL

35.3 It appears from the above table that the volume of dumped imports of the investigated product China during the POI was well above the negligible threshold set-out in Section 41(3)(b) of the Act.

C. INJURY TO THE DOMESTIC INDUSTRY

36. Determination of Injury

36.1 Section 15 of the Act sets out the principles for determination of material injury to the domestic industry in the following words:

“A determination of injury shall be based on an objective examination of all relevant factors by the Commission which may include but shall not be limited to:

a. volume of dumped imports;
b. effect of dumped imports on prices in domestic market for like products; and
c. Consequent impact of dumped imports on domestic producers of such products...”

36.2 Section 15 of the Act further provides that:

“No one or several of the factors identified shall be deemed to necessarily give decisive guidance and the Commission may take into account such other factors as it considers relevant for the determination of injury”.

36.3 The Commission has taken into account all factors in order to determine whether domestic industry suffered material injury during the POI. Material injury to the domestic industry has been analyzed in the following paragraphs in accordance with Part VI of the Act.
36.4 As stated earlier that there are seven units in the industry. The Commission requested for information from all units, however, only three units i.e. the Applicant (Indus Pencils Industries Pvt. Ltd.), M/s Mark Uni-Star Industries Ltd. and M/s Amjad Ahsan Info Tech Pvt. Ltd. provided necessary information on injury factors. Other three units namely, M/s Shah sons Ltd., M/s Sayyed Engineers Ltd., and M/s Dollar Industries Ltd. have provided information on their installed capacity, volume of production and sales, whereas M/s Pakistan Pencils Ltd. has not provided any information (paragraph 7.2 supra), therefore, analysis of the injury factors is based on the information submitted by Applicant and other two units namely M/s Mark Uni-Star Industries Ltd. and M/s Amjad Ahsan Info Tech Pvt. Ltd. However, installed production capacity, volume of domestic production and volume of sales is for entire domestic industry. As the Applicant and other two units who have provided information accounts for major share (61 percent) in the entire industry, therefore any inference derived in this regard from the data of these units would apply to the entire industry.

37. **Volume of Dumped Imports**

37.1 In order to ascertain the volume of dumped imports of the investigated product, the Commission has obtained import data from PRAL. As crayons are also imported in the same PCT no. (9609.1000) (paragraph 10.3 supra), therefore, imports under this PCT have been segregated and only imports of lead pencils have been considered for the purposes of this investigation.

37.2 With regard to the volume of dumped imports, in terms of Section 15(2) of the Act, the Commission has considered whether there has been a significant increase in the volume of dumped imports, either in absolute terms or relative to the production of the domestic like product during the POI. The following table shows imports of the investigated product, and production of the domestic like product during the POI:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Dumped imports</th>
<th>Domestic Production*</th>
<th>Dumped Imports as:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>increase/ (decrease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>50.84</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>130.57</td>
<td>49.87</td>
<td>30.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>129.07</td>
<td>51.95</td>
<td>(1.50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the domestic industry and PRAL  * production of all units excluding Pakistan Pencils.

Note: To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to dumped imports in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

37.2 It appears from the above table that dumped imports of the investigated product increased significantly by 31 percent in absolute terms in the year 2015-16 and then
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The dumped imports as relative to domestic production increased significantly from 197 percent to 262 percent in the year 2015-16 and slightly declined to 248 percent in the year 2016-17, however it remained significantly above the base year.

38 **Price Effects**

38.1 Effect of dumped imports on sales price of the domestic like product in the domestic market has been examined to establish whether there was significant price undercutting (the extent to which the price of the investigated product was lower than the price of the domestic like product), price depression (the extent to which the domestic industry experienced a decrease in its selling prices of domestic like product over time), or price suppression (the extent to which increased cost could not be recovered by way of increase in selling price of the domestic like product). Effects of dumped imports on price of the domestic like product are analyzed in following paragraphs:

38.2 **Price undercutting**

38.2.1 Weighted average ex-factory price of the domestic like product has been calculated from the information submitted by the Applicant and other domestic producers (M/s Unistar and Amjad Info) on the basis of their quantity and value of sales during the POI. Weighted average landed cost of the investigated product has been calculated from the information obtained from PRAL. Calculations of the price undercutting during the POI is given in following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Domestic Industry’s price</th>
<th>Landed cost of dumped</th>
<th>Price undercutting in:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>93.60</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>115.70</td>
<td>102.48</td>
<td>13.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>123.14</td>
<td>115.70</td>
<td>7.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: the domestic industry and PRAL

**Note1:** As imports are of wood lead pencils, therefore, for like to like comparison domestic industry’s prices are also of wood lead pencils

**Note2:** To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to domestic industry’s total price in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

38.2.2 The above table shows that the weighted average landed cost of the investigated product was lower than the weighted average ex-factory price of the domestic like product, resultanty the dumped imports of the investigated product undercut prices of the domestic like product ranging from 6.04 percent to 11.43 percent during the POI.

38.3 **Price Depression**
38.3.1 Weighted average ex-factory prices of the domestic like product prevailed during POI are given in table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Increase/ (Decrease) in Price</th>
<th>Price depression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>103.31</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>108.26</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>108.06</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the domestic industry

Note: To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to total price in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

38.3.2 The above table shows that the domestic industry did not face price depression in case of wood lead pencils whereas it faced price depression in case of poly pencils as its price declined by 5.42 percent in the year 2016-17.

38.3 Price Suppression

38.3.1 Weighted average cost to make and sell and ex-factory prices of the domestic like product for the POI are given in the following table for determination of price suppression:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cost to make &amp; sell</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Increase/(Decrease) in:</th>
<th>Price suppression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cost to make &amp; sell of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Price</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>91.41</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>101.83</td>
<td>95.80</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>109.51</td>
<td>95.61</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>(0.19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the domestic industry

Note: To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to total cost to make and sell in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

38.3.2 The above table shows that the total weighted average cost to make and sell of the domestic like product increased by 1.83 percent and 7.54 percent during the years 2015-16 and 2016-17 respectively. The weighted average total price of the domestic like product increased by 5 percent the year 2015-16 and then decreased by 0.20 percent in the year 2016-17. Thus, the domestic industry faced price suppression in the year 2016-17 as it was not able to recover increased cost to make and sell of 7.01 percent by way of increase in price.

39. Effects on Market Share

39.1 Total domestic demand for Lead Pencil in Pakistan is met through local production and imports. Size of the domestic market is established by adding sales of domestic like
product, imports of the investigated product from China and imports of the like product from other sources. Following table shows the market share from each source during the POI:

### Table – XII
#### Market Share

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Domestic Industry’s Sales#</th>
<th>Imports from:</th>
<th>Total Market</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume % share</td>
<td>Dumped source</td>
<td>Volume % share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>30.65 30.65%</td>
<td>68.45 68.45%</td>
<td>0.90 0.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>31.08 25.63%</td>
<td>89.37 73.68%</td>
<td>0.84 0.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>33.05 26.99%</td>
<td>88.34 72.15%</td>
<td>1.05 0.86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: the domestic industry and PRAL  # Sales by all units excluding Pakistan Pencils Ltd.

**Note:** To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to total market in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

39.2 The above table shows that the total domestic market of Lead Pencils increased by 21 percent during the years 2015-16 and further increased by 01 percent in the year 2016-17.

39.3 The domestic industry’s market share decreased by 5 percent from 31 percent in the year to 26 percent in the year 2015-16 and then increased by 1 percent to 27 percent in the year 2016-17, however, it remained lower than its market share in the base year.

39.4 Market share of the dumped imports increased by 5 percent from 68 percent in the year 2014-15 to 74 percent in the year 2015-16 and then decreased by 01 percent to 73 percent in the year 2016-17, however it remained more than its market share in the base year. Share of imports from other sources decreased from 0.90 percent in the year 2014-15 to 0.69 percent in the year 2015-16 and then slightly increased to 0.86 percent in the year 2016-17.

40. **Effects on Sales**

40.1 The sales of the domestic like product in the domestic market by the domestic industry during the POI are given below:

### Table- XIII
#### Sales by the Domestic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>% increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>101.40</td>
<td>1.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>107.82</td>
<td>6.32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the domestic industry

**Note:** To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to sales in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

40.2 The above table shows that the domestic industry’s sales of the domestic like product in the domestic market increased by 1.40 percent and 6.32 percent in the years 2015-16.
2016-17 respectively. Therefore, the domestic industry did not face negative effects on sales.

41. **Effects on Production and Capacity Utilization**

41.1 The installed production capacity, quantity produced and the capacity utilization of the domestic industry during the POI were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Installed Capacity*</th>
<th>Production*</th>
<th>Capacity Utilization (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>24.93</td>
<td>24.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>24.46</td>
<td>24.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>125.59</td>
<td>25.48</td>
<td>18.08%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the domestic industry  * All units excluding Pakistan Pencils

Note: To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to installed capacity in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

41.2 The above table shows that the installed capacity of the domestic industry has increased during the POI. Production of the domestic like product declined by 2 percent in the year 2015-16 and increased by 4 percent in the year 2016-17. Capacity utilization declined by 4 percent in the year 2016-17.

42. **Effects on Inventories**

42.1 The information relating to the inventories of the domestic like product of the cooperating three producers during the POI is given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Opening Inventory</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Domestic Sales</th>
<th>Export sales</th>
<th>closing inventory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>84.41</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>14.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>101.40</td>
<td>93.41</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>16.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td>114.30</td>
<td>113.00</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>15.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the domestic industry

Note: To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to production in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

42.2 The above table shows that the closing inventory level of the domestic like product increased from 14.22 in the year 2014-15 to 16.02 in the year 2016-17. The closing inventory of the domestic industry increased by 13 percent during the year 2015-16 over the preceding year and decreased by 1 percent in the year 2016-17 as compared to the year 2015-16.
43. **Effects on Profit/Loss**

43.1 The table below shows net profits/(loss) of the three cooperating domestic producers on production and sales of the domestic like product during the POI:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Profit/(Loss)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>(100.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>(205.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>(272.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the domestic industry  
**Note:** To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to loss in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

43.2 The information provided in the above table shows that the losses of the domestic industry on production and sales of the domestic like product increased over the POI for injury.

44. **Effects on Cash Flows**

44.1 All the three domestic producers who provided information are multi-product units and the cash flows of their operations cannot be determined separately for different products, as number of factors are combine for all products.

44.2 As per Section 17(2) of the Act, “the Commission shall assess effect of dumped imports in relation to production of a domestic like product in Pakistan when available data permit separate identification of that production on the basis of criteria of production process, producer’s sales and profits: Provided that if such separate identification of that production is not possible, the Commission shall assess effects of dumped imports by examination of the production of the narrowest group or range of products, which includes a domestic like product, for which necessary information can be provided.”

44.3 Keeping in view the above the cash flows are assessed for entire operations of the cooperating domestic producers, which is provided in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Net Cash flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>128.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
44.2 The above table shows that the domestic industry’s net cash flow from its operating activities improved in the year 2015-16 but declined significantly during the year 2016-17.

45. **Effects on Employment, Productivity and Salaries & Wages**

45.1 The data relating to the employment, salaries & Wages and productivity of the cooperating three domestic producers for the POI is given in the following table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Number of Employees</th>
<th>Productivity per worker</th>
<th>Salaries &amp; Wages Per Gross</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>96.66</td>
<td>104.90</td>
<td>108.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>95.32</td>
<td>119.92</td>
<td>100.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the domestic industry

**Note:** To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to no. of employees, productivity and salaries & wages in the year 2014-15 by taking them equal to 100

45.2 The above table shows that the employment in the domestic industry decreased during the POI by 5 percent in 2016-17. Salaries and wages increased by 9 percent in 2015-16 and decreased to 8 percent in 2016-17. Productivity per worker also increased during the POI due to a consistent increase in production of the domestic like product during the POI.

46. **Effects on Return on Investment**

46.1 The Applicant is a multi-product company and the investment and return on investment cannot be determined separately for different products as number of factors are combine for all products.

46.2 Keeping in view the above the effects on investment and return on investment are assessed for entire operations of the three cooperating producers. The information on return on investment of is provided in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>ROI (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>8.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>7.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>5.37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: the domestic industry
46.2 The above table shows that the return on investment decreased throughout the POI.

47 **Effects on Growth and Investment**

The domestic industry manufacturing Lead Pencils was not able to utilize its optimum installed production capacity during the POI despite the fact that domestic demand was more than the quantity produced by the domestic industry. During POI the domestic industry was able to attain 27 percent market share (paragraph 39 supra). The installed production capacity of the domestic industry is more than the total demand of Lead Pencils, therefore, there was no chance of further growth and new investment in the domestic industry manufacturing in this situation.

48 **Summing up of Material Injury and Effect of Dumped Imports**

48.1 Analysis of the volume of imports of the investigated product shows that the dumped import increased significantly in absolute terms and relative to domestic production in the POI. Further, dumped imports of the investigated product undercut prices of the domestic like product. The domestic industry faced price suppression during the POI.

48.2 As a result of significant increase in volume of dumped imports its market share increased in the years 2015-16 and 2016-17 as compared to the market share in the year 2014-15, whereas market share of domestic industry decreased during the POI. Further, domestic industry faced increase in losses, price suppression, and capacity utilization, employment, cash flows and ROI during the POI.

48.3 Investigation of the Commission has shown that there was a time correlation between dumped imports of the investigated product and material injury faced by the domestic industry on above mentioned factors as both happened during the same time period. Following table shows correlation between volume of dumped imports and material injury faced by the domestic industry:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>Volume of dumped imports</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>130.57</td>
<td>129.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>Increase/(decrease) in dumped imports</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>30.57%</td>
<td>-1.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii.</td>
<td>Market share of dumped imports</td>
<td>68.45%</td>
<td>73.68%</td>
<td>72.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv.</td>
<td>Market share of domestic like product</td>
<td>30.65%</td>
<td>25.63%</td>
<td>26.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v.</td>
<td>Price Undercutting</td>
<td>6.40%</td>
<td>11.43%</td>
<td>6.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv.</td>
<td>Price Suppression</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>7.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii.</td>
<td>Domestic industry’s production</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>98.08</td>
<td>91.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viii.</td>
<td>Domestic industry’s capacity utilization</td>
<td>24.93%</td>
<td>24.46%</td>
<td>20.29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Domestic industry’s ROI</th>
<th>8.24%</th>
<th>7.69%</th>
<th>5.37%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ix.</strong></td>
<td>Domestic industries sales</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>101.40</td>
<td>107.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>x.</strong></td>
<td>Closing inventories</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td>15.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>xi.</strong></td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>(100.00)</td>
<td>(205.34)</td>
<td>(272.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>xii.</strong></td>
<td>Net cash flows</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>128.91</td>
<td>(117.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>xiii.</strong></td>
<td>Number of Employees</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96.66</td>
<td>95.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: To maintain confidentiality, actual figures have been indexed with reference to imports, domestic industry’s production, sales, inventories, loss, net cash flows, and number of employees in the year 2014-15 by taking them equal to 100.

48.2 On the basis of information and analysis in the foregoing paragraphs, the Commission has concluded that the domestic industry suffered material injury on account of volume of dumped imports, price undercutting, price suppression, negative effects on employment and cash flows, decline in market share and decline in production & capacity utilization and decline in profits due to dumped imports of the investigated product during the POI.

49 Magnitude of Dumping Margins

The dumping margin determined in this preliminary determination for imports of the investigated product is ranging from 5.12 percent to 66.94 percent, which is considered enough to cause material injury to the domestic industry. Further, injury factors discussed above show that domestic industry has suffered materially injury due to dumped imports of the investigated product.

D Causal Relationship between Dumped Imports of the Investigated Product and Material Injury to the Domestic Industry

50. Examination of the volume of dumped imports shows a causal relationship between dumped imports of the investigated product and material injury suffered by the domestic industry during the POI. As volume of dumped imports increased significantly at dumped prices which simultaneously adversely affected price, inventories, employment, cash flows, market share and profits of the domestic industry.

51 Other Factors

51.1 In accordance with Section 18(2) and 18(3) of the Act, the Commission has examined the following factors other than dumped imports which could at the same time cause injury to the domestic industry, in order to ensure that possible injury caused by other factors is not attributed to the injury caused by dumped imports:

i. Volume and price of imports not sold at dumping prices;

ii. Contraction in demand or changes in the patterns of consumption;

iii. Trade restrictive practices and competition between imports and domestic
51.2 **Volume of other Imports and Contraction in Demand**

51.2.1 The Commission has preliminarily determined that the domestic industry did not suffer material injury due to other factors including imports of Lead Pencils from sources other than the dumped source during the POI. Volume of imports from other sources, dumped sources and sales by the domestic industry are provided in the following table to analyze whether there is contraction in demand for Lead Pencils or there are changes in its patterns of consumption in accordance with Section 18(3) of the Act:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Domestic Industry’s Sales#</th>
<th>Imports from:</th>
<th>Total Market</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>% share</td>
<td>Dumped source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>30.65</td>
<td>30.65%</td>
<td>68.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>31.08</td>
<td>25.63%</td>
<td>89.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>33.05</td>
<td>26.99%</td>
<td>88.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: the domestic industry and PRAL. # Sales by all units excluding Pakistan Pencils Ltd.

**Note:** To maintain confidentiality actual figures have been indexed with reference to total market in the year 2014-15 by taking it equal to 100

51.2.2 The above table shows that the volume of imports of Lead Pencils from sources other than the dumped source was negligible (less than 1% of total domestic market) throughout the POI. Whereas volume of dumped imports of the investigated product increased significantly during the POI. Thus, imports of Lead Pencil from other sources were not the cause of material injury to the domestic industry during the POI.

51.2.3 The above table further shows that there was no contraction in domestic demand of the Lead Pencils during the POI rather domestic market increased significantly, from 5.65 million gross during the year 2014-15 to 6.92 million gross in 2016-17. Thus, there was no contraction in demand or there was no change in pattern of consumption of the Lead Pencils during the POI.

51.3 Investigation has also shown that domestic industry exported Lead Pencils to Egypt and Turkey during the year 2014-15 which stopped afterwards. The Commission is of the view that stoppage of these exports affected adversely to the inventories of the domestic like product.

51.4 Investigation of the Commission has also revealed that the stationery sector including lead pencils was exempted from payment of sales tax at production as well as at
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sales stages up till 30 June 2016. From 1st July 2016 exemption of sales tax at production stage was withdrawn whereas sales of stationery items including lead pencils remained exempted from payment of sales tax. This situation created an anomaly as raw materials/inputs became liable to sales tax whereas the end product was not liable to sales tax. Thus, sales tax paid on raw materials/inputs during the year 2016-17 became part of the cost of production. However, from 1st July 2018 the raw materials/inputs of stationery sector are also exempted from payment of sales tax. The estimated impact of sales tax in cost of production of lead pencils works out to Rs. 67.01 per gross for the year 2016-17. The Commission is of the view that increase in losses of the domestic industry during the year 2016-17 was partly due to the impact of sales tax in the cost of production of the domestic like product.

51.5 Other factors mentioned in Section 18(3) of the Act were also analyzed and it was found that:

i. There was no change in trade restrictive practices and competition between producers other than producers from the dumped source and domestic producer; and

ii. There was no considerable change in technology to produce Lead Pencils during the POI;

51.6 Based on the above information and analysis the Commission has concluded that the other factors were not the prime cause of material injury to the domestic industry during the POI, rather dumped imports of the investigated product were the reason of material injury to the domestic industry.

E. CONCLUSIONS

52. After taking into account all considerations, evidences, information and analysis the Commission has reached the following conclusions for the purposes of this preliminary determination:

i. The application was filed on behalf of the domestic industry;

ii. The investigated product and the domestic like product are like products in terms of provisions of the Act;

iii. During POI, the investigated product was exported to Pakistan by the exporters/ producers from the China at prices below its normal value;
iv. Volume of dumped imports of the investigated product and the dumping margins established for the exporters/ producers of the investigated product are above the negligible and de minimis levels;

v. The dumping margins expressed as a percentage of weighted average adjusted export price at ex-factory level is ranging between 5.12 percent to 66.94 percent;

vi. The domestic industry suffered material injury on account of volume of dumped imports, price undercutting, price depression, price suppression, negative effect on inventories, employment and cash flows price depression, price suppression, decline in market share, decline in profits and profitability in terms of Section 15 and 17 of the Act; and

vii. There is a causal relationship between dumped imports of the investigated product and the material injury faced by the domestic industry.

F. IMPOSITION OF PROVISIONAL ANTIDUMPING DUTY

53. Section 43(1) of the Act empowers the Commission to impose provisional antidumping duty and states as follows:

"The Commission may impose provisional measures if it makes an affirmative preliminary determination of dumping and injury, and determines that provisional measures are necessary to prevent injury being caused during the course of an investigation:
Provided that provisional measures shall not be applied sooner than sixty days from the date of initiation of the investigation:
Provided further that the amount of the provisional anti-dumping duty shall not exceed the margin of dumping as provisionally established, but it may be less than the margin if such lesser duty would be adequate to remove the injury to the Domestic Industry."

54. Investigation of the Commission has shown that during course of this investigation imports of the investigated product are taking place in significant volume.

55. In view of the analysis and conclusions with regard to dumping, material injury, and causation, imposition of provisional antidumping duty on dumped imports of the investigated product is needed in accordance with Section 43 of the Act to prevent injury being caused to the domestic industry during the course of this investigation.

56. For the purpose of imposition of lesser duty in accordance with Section 43(1) of the Act, the Commission has calculated injury margin to ascertain whether a lower duty would be adequate to remove injury being suffered by the domestic industry due to dumped
imports of investigated product. The calculation of injury margin for the POI for dumping is given below:

Table-XXI
Injury Margins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Exporter Name</th>
<th>Injury margin (%)</th>
<th>Dumping margin at C&amp;F level (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>Zhejiang Best Intenerated Pencil Wood Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>60.94</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii.</td>
<td>Zhejiang Hongxing Stationery Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>37.77</td>
<td>22.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii.</td>
<td>Zhejiang Shengming Stationery Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>45.68</td>
<td>34.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv.</td>
<td>Zhejiang Hongye Pencil Industry Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>51.39</td>
<td>61.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v.</td>
<td>Fujian Songxi Huilian Stationery Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>31.38</td>
<td>22.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi.</td>
<td>Zhejiang Qingyuan Baolong Stationery Ltd.</td>
<td>41.55</td>
<td>25.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

57. As the injury margins determined at C&F level are more than the dumping margin at C&F level except in case of Zhejiang Hongye Pencil Industry Co. Ltd., therefore, provisional duties will be equal to the dumping margins at C&F level. In case of Zhejiang Hongye Pencil Industry Co. Ltd. lesser duty rule will apply and in this case the provisional antidumping duty will be equal to the injury margin.

58. Provisional duty rate for all other exporters/ producers from China who have not provided information in this investigation will be the highest duty rate determined among the cooperating exporters/ producers, which is the Zhejiang Hongye Pencil Industry Co. Ltd. and the provisional duty rate for it is 51.39 percent.

59. Keeping in view the above, following provisional antidumping duty rates are hereby imposed on the dumped imports of the investigated product importable from China for a period of four months effective from 06 June 2018. The provisional antidumping duties rates are determined on C&F value in ad val. terms. The investigated product, Lead Pencils are classified and imported under PCT/HS heading No. 9609.1000.

Table-XXI
Provisional Anti-dumping Duty Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter/ Producer Name</th>
<th>Duty Rates (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Best Intenerated Pencil Wood Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Hongxing Stationery Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>22.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Shengming Stationery Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>34.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Hongye Pencil Industry Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>51.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujian Songxi Huilian Stationery Co. Ltd.</td>
<td>22.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Qingyuan Baolong Stationery Ltd.</td>
<td>25.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All others from China</td>
<td>51.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

60. Lead Pencils imported from other sources (other than the China) shall not be subject
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to the provisional antidumping duty.

61. In accordance with Section 51 of the Act, the provisional antidumping duty shall take the form of *ad valorem* duty and be held in a non-lapsable personal ledger account established and maintained by the Commission for the purposes of antidumping duties. Release of the investigated product for free circulation in Pakistan shall be subject to the imposition of such antidumping duty.

62. Provisional antidumping duty levied on import of the investigated product would be in addition to other taxes and duties leviable on its imports under any other law.

63. The provisional antidumping duty would be collected in the same manner as customs duty is collected under the Customs Act, 1969 (IV of 1969) and would be deposited in Commission’s Non-lapsable PLD account No. 187 with Federal Treasury Office, Islamabad.

-sd-

(Tipu Sultan)  
Member  
June 05, 2018

-sd-

(Abdul Khaliq)  
Chairman  
June 05, 2018
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Annex-I

VIEWS/COMMENTS OF THE INTERESTED PARTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments of the Interested Parties</th>
<th>NTC Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comments submitted to the Commission by S.U. Khan Associates Corporate &amp; Legal Consultants on behalf of;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• China Writing Instruments Association;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• China Chamber of Commerce for Import and Export of Light Industrial Products &amp; Arts – Crafts;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• M/s Dollar Industries Pvt. Ltd.;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• M/s Zen Tax;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• M/s Brother Pen Company;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• M/s Real Enterprises;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• M/s Success Marketing Ways;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• M/s Salim &amp; Company; and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• M/s Sultan &amp; Company.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PENCIL PRODUCTION IN PAKISTAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“…Lead Pencils include many types and can broadly be categorized into Black Lead Pencils used for “writing” purposes and color pencils used for “coloring” purposes. Lead Pencils are made up of two components i.e. the Case (outer shell which forms the body of the product) and the Core (inner lead which actually is the writing part of the pencil). The Core of Pencil in Black Lead Pencils is made up of graphite whereas the core in color pencils is made up of wax and colors. The “outer shell” (Case) of the pencils both in Black Lead Pencils and Color Pencils can either be made up of wood or made up of plastic. Black Lead Pencils are not used for coloring and Colored Pencils are not used for writing purposes. Furthermore, Lead Pencils also vary in each category based on the specific type of pencil and the raw materials used which in either of the “Case” is wood or plastic. In addition, there is another type of Pencil which is known as “Clutch Pencil” (classified as sliding or propelling pencils), which are totally different from the other type of pencils mentioned herein. The clutch pencils are not currently being produced by the domestic industry”.</td>
<td>Like product in this investigation is determined keeping in view all relevant factors including the factors mentioned by the interested parties. After taking into account all factors, the investigated product and the like product for the purposes of this investigation is defined as pencils with lead encased in a rigid (wood or plastic) sheath (“Lead Pencils”).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“…Indus Pencil Industries manufacture both the wood case pencils and plastic case pencils. Furthermore, Indus Pencil has also been an importer of wood case pencils from China. It is estimated that during the period of 2016-17, wood case pencils manufactured by the Applicant (Indus Pencil) constituted around 25% of its total pencil production and rest of the 75% pencils manufactured by Indus Pencil were made from Plastics.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“…Due to their inherent disadvantage, persisting weaknesses and insufficient technological expertise for producing wood case pencils, some of the major Pencil Producers in Pakistan have shifted their focus to making Plastic Pencils and anti-dumping cases in other countries rarely target at such products. Pakistani companies have since demonstrated their capability of making decent quality Plastic Pencils on their own because Pakistan domestically manufactures the basic raw materials used in Plastic Pencils including but not limited to General Purpose Polystyrene (GPPS) and other indigenously produced materials such as clay, talc and colorants which all are abundantly, consistently and economically available in Pakistan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHINESE WOOD CASE PENCIL PRODUCTION AND EXPORTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“…There are usually 19-22 production steps involved in making wood case pencils. While some of the Chinese wood-case pencils imported into Pakistan are in a completely finished and in a readymade form, duly packed into retail packs, however, “most” of the pencils imported in Pakistan are not in a final and finished form which are brought in bulk containers………..</td>
<td>The Commission’s investigation has revealed that similar production process is employed by the domestic industry to manufacture pencils in Pakistan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Steps include finishing, sorting, grading, printing, sharpening, coding, packaging, wrapping, cartoning and so on, which create substantial value addition, tax revenue and a multitude of local jobs in Pakistan……”

### PRELIMINARY SUBMISSIONS

| “Application filed by the Applicant domestic industry is vague and incomplete which does not provide adequate information to properly assess injury to the domestic industry….“ |
| The application filed by the Applicant fulfills requirements of Section 20 of the Act. |

### Issues related to definition of Product under investigation:

| “…The product under investigation has come to be known as “Lead Pencils” “imported from China” which is “used for writing in schools, offices etc”. The term “Lead Pencil” is generic in nature whereas the “product imported from China” and its “intended use” make it a bit specific and requires proper definition….“ |
| Investigated product and the domestic like are determined keeping in view all relevant factors |

### Inclusion of Color Pencils and Black Lead Pencils in one investigation despite being separate and distinct products:

| The Applicant has claimed both the black lead pencils and color pencils as the product under investigation. However, it seems that the NTC has included only black lead pencils as in the product concerned since the intended use is only provided for the black lead pencils which is “writing in schools”. Color pencils are not used for writing purposes they are only used for coloring by the children in schools. This means the product definition provided by the NTC excludes the color pencils and includes only black lead pencils. Black lead pencils and color pencils as indicated by their names are separate and distinct categories of the Pencils having different market and uses. Both employ different raw materials, partially different manufacturing process, different sales prices and costs as well as different customers and serve different market segments and therefore does not qualify to be like product as per the criteria used by the NTC in determination of like product…..

…The costs and prices of both the black lead pencils and color pencils are different with a different share in sales, both in imports as well as in the sales of the Applicant domestic industry.

… NTC should either exclude color pencils from the scope of the investigated product or conduct separate injury analysis for both the types of pencils since neither of these are interchangeable in use nor the imports of color pencils can affect the domestic operations of black lead pencils, or vice versa.” |
| The investigation is being conducted as per defined investigated product. Further, the distinction of black lead and coloured lead is taken care of in determination of dumping. |

### No explicit exclusion of different types of Pencils:

| “…The wood case pencils and plastic case pencils employ different raw materials, have different manufacturing process, have different costs and sales prices, possess different quality and physical characteristics, have different appearances and have different in-use behavior (one can easily notice the difference in wood case pencils and plastic pencils). Only the aspect of same tariff classifications however does not necessarily make both the products as like products. As per Section 2(e) of the Act, since domestic like product is a “like product” produced by the domestic industry, domestic like product in this investigation can only be Wood Case Pencils which have very rightly been defined by the NTC as “product under consideration”. |
| The likeness of the products is determined keeping in view all relevant factors and after careful review of all relevant factors the Commission has determined that the investigated product as pencils with lead encased in a rigid (wood or plastic) sheath (“Lead Pencils”). |

### Other types not produced by the domestic industry should be excluded from the scope of the investigated product:

| Analysis of the import data provided by the Applicant in its application in Appendix-1 & Appendix-2 has reflected that other types of pencils including “clutch pencils” are being imported under the same PCT Code i.e. 9609.1000. Clutch pencils are totally different from Wood Case Pencils having significantly higher cost and sales price and are not in competition with the Wood Case Pencils. |
| The information obtained from PRAL is carefully reviewed and only lead pencils have been taken into account for the purposes of this investigation. Other products imported under this PCT No. have been excluded while analyzing the lead pencils |

### Correction of certain product related information:

| “As per para 7 of the initiation memo, it is mentioned that investigated product (lead pencils) is measured in gross. 1 gross contains 144 lead pencils. 1 gross is of 700 grams. Here, it is measured in gross. 1 gross contains 144 lead pencils. 1 gross is of 700 grams. Here, it is |
| The Commission has used average weight of 800 grams for one gross |
added for the kind consideration of the Commission that average weight of 1 gross is around 850 grams and not 700 grams…”

**Unlawful determination of the standing of the Applicant at the time of initiation:**

In the initiation memo the Commission has mentioned Mark Unistar as Mark Industries having share of 26.84% in domestic production during the period July 2016 – June 2017. Here, Dollar Industries would like to clarify that Mark Industries, in reality, is neither a producer of any kind of pencils nor have claimed itself to be a producer of pencils in its letter dated 29th December 2017, addressed to the Commission.

**Incorrect information provided by the Applicant and used by the Commission for determination of the standing of the Application:**

“…The Applicant produces both wood case pencils as well as plastic case pencils. The information on production provided by the Applicant does not categorically segregate between wood case and plastic case pencils. The data provided by the Applicant include both wood case and plastic case pencils hence is incorrect and misleading……… the Applicant’s production of wood case pencils is merely around 20% and the majority of production of the Applicant represents plastic case pencils…”

**Mark Industries status disqualifies it to be treated either as manufacturer or importer as required under the Act:**

“…Mark Industries is neither a producer of any kind of pencils nor have claimed itself to be a producer of pencils…. Mark Industries has no locust standi neither to become a part of domestic industry as per Section 2(d) of the Act nor to become an interested party under the terms of the section 2(j) of the Act. Therefore, the name of Mark Industries should be excluded as a supporting producer.”

**Inclusion of Mark Unistar in the scope of domestic industry despite of its imports of the investigated product is in violation of Section 2(d) of the Act:**

“…Mark Unistar also imported substantial quantity of Wood Case Pencils from China during the POI. As per the market information, Mark Unistar imported around 150,000 Kgs of finished pencils from China during Jul 2014- June 2015 (first year of the POI). Therefore, in the interest of justice Mark Unistar (or Mark Industries) should not have been qualified as a part of domestic industry in terms of Section 2(d) of the Act…”

**Applicant does not qualify to be a part of domestic industry since it also imported the investigated product from China:**

“…Apart from Mark Unistar, the Applicant (Indus Pencil) also does not qualify to be a part of domestic industry since it, itself, imported Lead Pencils from China during the year 2014. As per the market information the Applicant imported around 12,000 Kgs of Lead Pencils during 2014 at a price which was prevalent and matches with that of other importers…”

**Unlawful determination of dumping for the purpose of initiation:**

8.77% dumping margin has un-necessarily been calculated by the Commission which is not appropriate. Evidence of dumping provided by the Applicant didn’t show dumping at all since many adjustments on account of finishing, sorting, grading, sharpening, coding, printing and packing etc were not accounted for while calculating the normal value. In addition normal value was also unlawful since simple average normal value for colored and black lead pencils have been used instead of weighted average normal value or separate normal value for color and black lead pencils.

**Initiation of the investigation is in violation of Section 23 of the Act since there is no:**

The Commission has mentioned Mark Unistar as Mark Industries coding, any kind of pencils nor have claimed itself to be a producer of pencils in its letter dated 29th December 2017, addressed to the Commission.

**Standing of the application is determined in accordance with provisions of the Act. It is worth mentioning that Mark Unistar is a manufacturer of lead pencils, whereas Mark industries is not a manufacturer of lead pencils. The Commission has taken into account only Mark Unistar in this investigation. Please refer paragraphs 6 and 7 of the Report.**

**The investigated product and the domestic like product for the purposes of this investigation is defined as pencils with lead encased in a rigid (wood or plastic) sheath. Further, standing of the application in this preliminary determination is determined on the basis of the information collected by the Commission in accordance with provisions of the Act. Please refer paragraph 7 of the Report.**

**Mark Industries is not a producer of lead pencils and it is not part of domestic industry for the purposes of this investigation, rather Mark Unistar is a producer of lead pencils and it is taken into account in this investigation.**

**Standing of the application in this preliminary determination is determined on the basis of the information collected by the Commission in accordance with provisions of the Act. Please refer paragraph 7 of the Report.**

**Standing of the application in this preliminary determination is determined on the basis of the information collected by the Commission in accordance with provisions of the Act. Please refer paragraph 7 of the Report.**

**The Commission analysed the application in terms of Section 23 of the Act and determined that there was sufficient evidence of dumping of the investigated product and material injury to the domestic industry to justify initiation of an investigation in this case.**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positive Evidence of Injury to the Domestic Industry:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The information provided in the initiation memo does not, at all, reflect any injury to the Applicant. Even if there is some injury to the Applicant, the same cannot be attributed to the dumped imports since there was no increase in volume of dumped imports either in absolute terms or relative to domestic production or consumption and there was no price effect to the Applicant which could have resulted in a conclusion that dumped imports were a cause of so-called injury to the Applicant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causal Link:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Commission incorrectly established causal link between injury to the Applicant and alleged dumping since there was no increase in volume of dumped imports either in absolute terms or relative to domestic production or consumption and no price effect to the Applicant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Factors:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neither any information on other factors mentioned in Section 18(3) of the Act has been provided in the application nor has any evaluation of other factors been made by the Commission in its initiation memo.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comments Submitted by Sayyed Engineers Limited.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“...Finished pencils from China are being exported to Pakistan at prices, which barely cover the cost of raw materials imported from the same source. This egregious situation has forced us over the last year to drastically reduce production at our pencil factory and shift the bulk of our business to import....”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“....Antidumping duties are absolutely essential to save the local pencil industry and thousands of jobs in the country.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NTC Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

application in terms of Section 23 of the Act and determined that there was sufficient evidence of dumping of the investigated product and material injury to the domestic industry to justify initiation of an investigation in this case.

Investigation of the Commission has shown that there was a causal link between dumped imports of the investigated product and material injury to the domestic industry during the POI. Please refer paragraphs 48 to 51 of the Report.

The Commission has also analysed other factors and found that other factors were not the cause of material injury to the domestic industry (paragraph 50 of the Report).